## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Montana

		District of	Montana	
Date of Original Date of Previous	s Amended Judgment:	06/04/2020 )	Case No: USM No:	CR 19-83-BLG-SPW-2 17680-046
(Use Date of Last A	Amended Judgment if Any)	,	Defendant's	Attorney
(		ING MOTION SUANT TO 18		NTENCE REDUCTION 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently be § 994(u), and ha	a reduction in the term of en lowered and made ret	of imprisonment improactive by the United to the United Street Indicate the United Street Indicate Ind	osed based o ed States Sen account the	n of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. In a guideline sentencing range that has tencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 nat they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERI DEN  the last judgment is.	sued) of	mon	ths is reduce	posed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in ed to Page 2 when motion is granted)
Amendment 82 Part A I while under a cr Amendment" (N the effect of low (9th Cir. 2017); Here, D resulting in a to Defendant's tota status points. So with 10, 11, or 1 Amendment 82	ant seeks a sentence reduct to the United States Selimits the overall criminal riminal justice sentence.  Nov. 1, 2023). "A defendant's application of the defendant's application of the defendant had a subtotal of the defendant had a subtotal of the defendant history score all criminal history score are USSG Ch.5, Pt.A, Ser 12 Criminal History Point	nction under the retrontencing Guidelines. It history impact of "See USSG Ret. Applant is ineligible for eplicable guideline rate (2023). Criminal history score of 12 and a criminal was 12, her criminal hieroring Table (shownts). Therefore, Defect of lowering the defect of lowering the	status points' b. A & B, Suba sentence reange." United to of ten and relationship that Crimendant is included.	ration to the criminal history rules in Part A of (1.) Defendant is ineligible.  'for offenders who committed the instant offense of the control of the relevant amendment does not have a distance of the control of the relevant amendment does not have a distance of the control of the relevant amendment does not have a distance of the control of the relevant amendment does not have a distance of the control of the relevant amendment does not have a distance of the control of the cont
Except as otherv	vise provided, all provisi	ons of the judgment	dated 0	shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORD	ERED.		$\Lambda$	$\sim$ 2
Order Date:	02/09/2024		Jusar	1 L. Watter
Effective Date:  (if different from order date)			Susan P. Watters, U.S.District Judge  Printed name and title	